

Entered on Docket

March 28, 2022

EDWARD J. EMMONS, CLERK  
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



1 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP  
2 Theodore Tsekerides (*pro hac vice*)  
(theodore.tsekerides@weil.com)  
3 Jessica Liou (*pro hac vice*)  
(jessica.liou@weil.com)  
4 Matthew Goren (*pro hac vice*)  
(matthew.goren@weil.com)  
767 Fifth Avenue  
5 New York, NY 10153-0119  
Tel: 212 310 8000  
6 Fax: 212 310 8007

Signed and Filed: March 28, 2022

DENNIS MONTALI  
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

7 KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP  
8 Tobias S. Keller (#151445)  
(tkeller@kbkllp.com)  
9 Jane Kim (#298192)  
(jkim@kbkllp.com)  
10 David A. Taylor (#247433)  
(dtaylor@kbkllp.com)  
650 California Street, Suite 1900  
11 San Francisco, CA 94108  
Tel: 415 496 6723  
12 Fax: 650 636 9251

13 *Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized  
Debtors*

14  
15 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
16 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
17 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

18 In re:

19 **PG&E CORPORATION,**

20 **- and -**

21 **PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY,**

22 **Debtors.**

23  Affects PG&E Corporation  
24  Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
 Affects both Debtors

25 \* *All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case,*  
26 *No. 19-30088 (DM).*

27 Case No. 19-30088 (DM)  
28 Chapter 11  
(Lead Case)  
(Jointly Administered)

**ORDER APPROVING  
STIPULATION ENLARGING  
TIME FOR CHRISTINE RIZZO-  
MURPHY, DAVID L. MURPHY,  
CARINA E. MURPHY, AND  
GARRETT M. MURPHY TO FILE  
PROOF OF CLAIM**

1       The Court having considered the *Stipulation Enlarging Time for Christine Rizzo-Murphy, 2 David L. Murphy, Carina E. Murphy, and Garrett M. Murphy to File Proof of Claim*, dated 3 March 22, 2022 [Dkt. No. 12066] (the “**Stipulation**”),<sup>1</sup> entered into by PG&E Corporation 4 (“**PG&E Corp.**”) and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the “**Utility**”), as debtors and 5 reorganized debtors (collectively, the “**Debtors**” or the “**Reorganized Debtors**”) in the above- 6 captioned cases (the “**Chapter 11 Cases**”), on the one hand, and Christine Rizzo-Murphy, David 7 L. Murphy, Carina E. Murphy, and Garrett M. Murphy (“**Movants**”), on the other hand; and 8 pursuant to such Stipulation and agreement of the Parties, and good cause appearing,

9       IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

10      1.       The Stipulation is approved.

11      2.       The Proof of Claim is deemed timely filed.

12      3.       The Proof of Claim and Asserted Fire Victim Claims shall for all purposes be treated 13 and classified as Fire Victim Claims under the Plan, and shall be fully assumed by, and the sole 14 responsibility of, the Fire Victim Trust and subject to the Channeling Injunction, to be administered, 15 processed, settled, disallowed, resolved, liquidated, satisfied, and/or paid in accordance with the Fire 16 Victim Trust Agreement and the Fire Victim Claims Resolution Procedures. Movants shall have no 17 further recourse against the Debtors or Reorganized Debtors, as applicable, with respect to the Proof 18 of Claim or the Asserted Fire Victim Claims.

19      4.       Nothing herein shall be construed to be a waiver by the Debtors or the Reorganized 20 Debtors, as applicable, the Fire Victim Trust, or any other party in interest of any right to object to 21 the Asserted Fire Victim Claims or the Proof of Claim on any grounds other than the untimely filing 22 thereof.

23      5.       Nothing herein shall be construed to be a waiver by Movants of their rights to oppose 24 any asserted challenge to the Asserted Fire Victim Claims or the Proof of Claim.

25  
26  
27      1 Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them 28 in the Stipulation.

6. By entry of this Order, the *Motion to Allow/Deem Timely Late Filing of Proof of Claim by Movants Christine Rizzo-Murphy, David L. Murphy, Carina E. Murphy, and Garrett M. Murphy* [Dkt. No. 12027] is deemed withdrawn with prejudice, and the Hearing is vacated.

7. The Stipulation is binding on the Parties and each of their successors in interest.

8. The Stipulation constitutes the entire agreement and understanding of the Parties relating to the subject matter thereof and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings relating to the subject matter thereof.

9. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve any disputes or controversies arising from the Stipulation or this Order.

\*\*\* END OF ORDER \*\*\*

Dated: March 22, 2022

## BLUESTONE, FAIRCLOTH & OLSON, LLP

/s/ Jacob M. Faircloth

Jacob M. Faircloth, Esq.

*Attorneys for Christine Rizzo-Murphy, David L. Murphy, Carina E. Murphy, and Garrett M. Murphy*